Summit Finale: Praise From Weinberger

In Washington, Some Arms Control Experts Lament Absence of Progress

By BERNARD GWERTZMAN special to The New Yest Times WASHINGTON, Nov. 21 — Some arms control experts criticized President Reagan today for apparently failing to reach any concrete agreements or to affirm existing arms accords with Market Control of the Control of

er, during their two-day meeting.
But Defensé Secretary Caspar W.
Weinberger, who had expressed concern that Mr. Reagan might be pressured into statements of support for the
1979 strategic arms limitation accord,
praised Mr. Reagan for standing firm.
He said it was "significant and vital"
that their weig no accords to curb
work on space-based defenses.
One of the arms cointrol experts,
Spurgoon Keeny, who president of the
Arms Control Association and a former
deputy director of the Arms Control
and Disarmament Agency, said:

any progress on the central issue of strategic arms control because the President remains adamant on his commitment to strategic defense, which is a fundamental obstacle to achieving substantial reduction in Strategic arms.

"Cap Weinberger should be very with "Cap Weinberger should be very with the Soviet Union," "Cap Weinberger should be very with the Soviet Union must satisfy become achieving substantial reduction in Strategic arms."

"Cap Weinberger should be very with "Cap Weinberger should be very with the Soviet Union must satisfy become to Malcolam Wailion, Republicant of Wyoming, said, "I have big reservations of the Soviet Union and the Soviet Union and the Soviet Union with the Soviet Union with the Soviet Union and the Soviet Union with the Soviet

President, Back in the U.S., Sees Hope of 'New Realism'

Continued From Page 1

counc 'at tong last secape the prison on mutual terror.

His prepared 20-minute speech not only offered a review of the Reagan-Gorbachev sessions — the two leaders are alone for nearly five hours in Gesteva — but also provided a glimpse of American policy toward the Rus-sians in the afternation the first sum. Mr. Reagan addéd that "we dis-cussed threats to the peace in several

German Arrested on Charge Of Bilking Ex-Chess Champion

HAMBURY, West Germany, Nov. 21.
(Reuters) — A West German broadcast decreased and the second of the cast of the

spocesman for the Ham-burg prosecutor's office said, He did not elaborate. Mr. Karpov, who feet this till to Cary, Mr. Karpov, who feet this till to Cary, more than the most of the more than the march in Moscow, had airmiged have royalites from the advertising deal paid to him through Mr. Jung-with's bank account. The deal was signed in 1978. Mr. Jungwith said the had passed on Mr. Jungwith said the had passed on never received it.

regions of the world."

"I explained my proposals for a peace process to stop the wars in Afghanistan, Nicaragua, Ethiopia, Anghanistan, Nicaragua, Ethiopia, Angola and Cambodia, where insurgencies that speak for the people are pitted against regimes which obviously do not repote the will or the approval of the poople."

'They Hit It Off Amazingly'

They Hit It Off Amazingly

Beyond this, the Geneva summit
meeting was framed by Mr. Reagan's

Gorban and the Mr. Reagan's

and a series of agreements that in
cluded cultural and educational ex
changes, plans to open consultes in

changes, plans to open consultes in

air safety pact to avoid incidents such

air safety pact to avoid incidents such

as the shooting down of the Korean

airliner that had entered into Soviet

airspace.

as cases and the control of the cont



JOINT STATEMENT: President Reagan and Mikhail S. Gorbachev chatting as Secretary of State George P. Shultz, right, and Eduard A. Shevardnadze, the Soviet Foreign Minister, signed documents at the conclusion of summit meeting yesterday in Geneva.

prove U.S. Soviet relations and the international situation as a whole," it dission in which he reiterated his main added.

A Certain Momentum'
Mr. Reagan said in Geneva, "These words of tails should inject a certain single with a certain move the "Star Wars" system.

If the United State processed with its space-based shield, Mr. Gorbachev momentum into our work on the Issued winds." Privately, officials said that thouse at the meeting we've decided out of the control of the c

Text of the Joint U.S.-Soviet Statement: 'Greater Understanding Achieved'

Special to The New York Times GENEVA, Nov. 21 — Following is the text of the joint Soviet-American statement at the end of the summit meeting today, as made public by the White House:

meeting today, as made public by the White House:

By mitual agreement, the President of the United States, Ronald the General Secretary of the Central, Committee of the Communist Party of the Soviet Union, Michael S. Gorbachev, met in Geneva Noy, 18-11. Attending the meeting on George P. Shuitz, chief of staff, Donald T. Regan; Assistant to the President, Robert C. McFarlane; Arnbassador to the U.S.S.R., Arthur A. Hartman; special adviser to the President, Robert C. McFarlane; Arnbassador to the U.S.S.R., Arthur A. Hartman; special adviser to the President, Robert C. McFarlane; Arnbassador to the U.S.S.R., Arthur A. Hartman; special adviser to the President Committee of the Contral Committee of European Affairs, Ryzanne L. Ridgway; Special Assistant to the President for National Security Affairs, Jack F. Matlock.

Anthus Sandor D. The Contral Committee of the C.P.S.U., Minister of Foreign Affairs Eduard A. Shevardnadze; First Deputy Foreign Minister Georgi M. Kontryenko; Ambassador to The United State Committee of the C.P.S.U., Alexandra N. The Committee of the C.P.S.U., Alexandra N. Alexandra N. Alexandra N. Alexandra N. The Committee of the C.P.S.U., Alexandra N. Alexandra N. Alexandra N. The Committee of the C.P.S.U., Andrel M. Aleksandrov. These comprehensive discussions of Verential Committee of the C.P.S.U., Alexandra N. Alexandra N. The Committee of the C.P.S.U., Andrel M. Aleksandrov. These comprehensive discussions of Verential Committee of the C.P. S.U., Alexandra N. Alexandra N. The C. Alexandra N. Alexandra N. The C. Alexandra N. Alexandra N. Alexandra N. The C. Alexandra N. Al

rémainon a number of critical Issues. While acknowledging the differences in their systems and approaches to international Issues, some greater understanding of each side's view was achieved by the two léaders. They agreed about the need ut improve U.S.-Soviet relations and the international situation as a whole.

In this connection the two sides that they are in two have confirmed the importance of an clear arms of the U.S. and the ongoing dialogue, reflecting their U.S. Ra appropriately applied, we strong desire to seek common ground, well as the idea of an interim In.V.P. and the other content of the United States to the president of the United States to visit the United States of America, and the President of the United States are streamed to the C.P.S.U. to visit the Soviet Union. Arrangements for the tuning of the visits will be agreed upon the thing to the control of the United States are streamed to the C.P.S.U. to visit the Soviet Union. Arrangements for the tuning of the visits will be agreed upon the thing to the control of the Union to the C.P.S.U. to visit the Soviet Union. Arrangements for the tuning of the visits will be agreed upon the thing to the visits will be agreed upon the thing to the visits will be agreed upon the thing to the visits will be agreed upon the thing to the visits will be agreed upon the thing to the visits will be agreed upon the thing to the visits will be agreed upon the thing to the visits will be agreed upon the thing to the visits will be agreed upon the thing the visits will be agreed upon the visits will be agreed to the visits will be

Nuclear Nonproliferation

Security

Nuclear Nonproliferation.

General Secretary Gerbachev and Charles and the surface of the following pages. The surface of the surfa

firmed that they are in favor of a general and complete prohibition of chemical weapons and the destruction of existing stockpiles of such weapons. They agreed to accelerate efforts to conclude an effective and verifiable international convention on this matter.

The conclude a greed to intensify the control of the cont

The two sides emphasized the importance they attach to the Vienna (M.B.F.R.) negotiations and expressed their willingness to work for positive results.

ministries and departments in such fields as agriculture, housing and protection of the environment have been useful.

Recognizing that 'exchanges of views on regional issues of the expert views on regional issues of the expert of the exper

Northern Pacific

The two leaders also noted with satisfaction that, in cooperation with the Government of Japan, the United States and the Soviet Union have agreed to a set of measures to promote safety on air routes in the North Pacific and have worked out steps to implement them.

Civil Aviation Consulates

They acknowledged that delega-tions from the United States and the Southern the United States and the States of the United States and the States of the United States and the greenment at an early date. In this re-gard, an agreement was reached on the simultaneous opening of consul-ates general in New York and Kiev.

— a global task — through joint re-search and practical measures. In ac-cordance with the existing U.S.-Soviet agreement in this area, consul-tations will be held next year in Mos-cow and Washington on specific pro-grams of cooperation.

Exchange Initiatives

Exchange Initiatives

The two leaders agreed on the utility of broadening exchanges and contacts including some of their new development, and the source of their new development of educational exchanges and software for elementary and secondary school instruction; many set to promote Russian land secondary school instruction; many and secondary school in the U.S.S.R.; the annual exchange of professors to Conduct special courses in history, culture and economics at the relevant departments of Soviet and education; mutual allocation of school and the secondary school in the natural sciences, technology, social sciences and humanities for the period of an academic year; holding increased television coverage of sciences and humanities for the period of an academic year; holding regular meets in various sports and increased television coverage of to resume cooperation in combating cancer diseases.

The relevant agencies in each of the countries are being instructed to devalop specific programs for these exceptions of the countries are described by the leaders at their next meeting.

Fusion Research

amed at resumption of all services.

Fusion Research

responsible to make a multially behalfial agreement at an early date. In this responsible to the simultaneous opening of consultate general in New York and Services.

Environmental Protection

Both sides agreed to contribute to the preservation of the environment all makind.

Excerpts From Gorbachev News Session: 'All Have a Stake'

GENEVA, Nov. 21 (AP) — Following are excerpts from Mikhail S. Gorbachev's news con-ference in Geneva today, as translated by the Swiss organizers of the summit meeting.

OPENING STATEMENT

We, too, have fairly well assessed the reali-ties of the situation. You can see just how far the militarization of the economy has pro-ceeded and even political thinking along those lines. But we do, we have full well ap-preciated that the situation in the world is too dangerous to give up even the slightest dangerous to give up even the slightest ton of moving toward more stable and last-ing peace.

chance of correcting, of redressing the situa-tion of moving toward more stable and last-ting peace.

The control of the decided summit meeting—that we began pav-ing the way in a sense to the very Geneva summit meeting, and we began trying to es-tablish a favorable cilimate for that meeting. Back in the summer already, we unlater-ally halted all nuclear explosions and ex-pressed our readiness to immediately begin talks on a comprehensive muclear test ban-tisks on a comprehensive muclear test ban-tnow, we submitted radical proposals for the reduction of nuclear arsenals.

Our proposals not to have the arms race ex-tended into space were accompanied by pro-posals for establishing the broadest possible international cooperation for peaceful re-search and utilization of ourer space for the benefit of all nations.

Basis for Mutual Understanding

Basis for Mutual Understanding
And we did our utmost to lay the basis for a
mutual understanding before the meeting
would get under way, to improve the political
atmosphere.

would get under way, to improve the political would get under way, to improve the political So, at 1 say, already at the threshold of the Geneva summit meeting, there were political consultative meetings held together among the Warsaw Pact member countries in Sofia, where there were loud voices raised by the socialist countries in Javor of peace, detente, cooperation, voices raised against the arms race, voices raised against confrontation and in favor of an improved international situation in the interests of all people of this earth. And all of these measures were dictated by a sense of responsibility for the fate of the world. They did not meet with the reaction we might have hoped for from our partners in the Geneva talks before that meeting would be held.

we man have injection from our partners in the Geneva talks before that meeting would have for the meeting would we firmly maintained a constructive position, and we felt it was necessary to exert every effort to establish an example. The very complexity of the international situation convinced us that direct talks with the President of the U.5.A. would be essential, in light of the tremendous part the Soviet Union and the United States play, of course, which give rise to domestic responsibility, as well for those states and the political leaders.

The Nuclear Threat

Our reasoning was that the time had come

tions states and the pointern reacers.

The Nuclear Threat that bung over us all, we would have to get down to extent, involved. Our Soviet people — and here I'm utterly convinced the American people as well — have a stake in this. All peoples of the world have a stake in these talks, we contine to feel that people throughout the world want peace, and that only be maintained, but that the situation be improved, that true progress be achieved to hast the arms race, to all dimensions.

There are two main aspects in this process. What we are doing on the one hand is consistent toward the aspirations of mations the property of the stake of the state of the stake o

Time of Responsibility
I might sum up in a word. To characterize
the present international situation, the state
in which we find ourselves now, I would characterize that as a time of great responsibility, And people throughout the world have understood that, and are acting accordingly.
But from that, of course, from that situation,
but one of the course of the course of the
have policies, state policies modeled as a
function of those considerations, policies
which will be preserved by their political
leaders:

leaders:
I was much impressed by the letters I received from the Soviet Union, and the United
States of America, from all of Europe, Asia,
Africa, even Australia. From children,
women, men, war veterans. And it's very important that I stress just how just how prominent in this respect were youth, because it is
their future.

their future.

It is for youth, this future. It is they who will be taking over the responsibility for the fate of this world.

Sessions With Reagan

fate of this world.

Sessions With Reagan
And about the meeting itself now, a few
words. There were quite a number of private
sessions held with President Reagan before
the actual talks themselves, and it wasn't
just a matter of arithmetic, how many hours
it took. These were very frank talks. Sometimes they were very lively. At one point it
actually became very, very lively indeed.
And nevertheless, I think they were to a certain extent productive talks.
Line steet productive talks.
In fact, I would say they took up the main
part of the time allotted to us over the two
days, And now that we are saying goodbye. I
was trying to think back just how many times
we did meet. I think it was some five or six
different occasions we had to meet and had
an opportunity to spend a lesset a good hour
talking to one another.

The state of the spending the state of the spending of the spending the spending of the spending the spending of the spending t

any on great significance, it assy it was uccu-and it was especially during those talks as well as during the plenary sessions, and through the more extensive contacts we had among the various members of the two delo-gations, including the experts, the Soviet and American, these experts being the greatest authorities on the subjects discussed, not only in our two countries but in the world as a whole, the fact that all this occurred made it we which, the fact that all this occurred made it ap-ceptible during the source of cover do you ac-complish a very serious piece of work.

We submitted our own thoughts to the President, our assessment of the international situation and our basic premise in that regard was that over the recent decades in the world there have been radical changes, radical changes that require new approaches the state of the recent decades in the world there have been radical changes, radical changes that require new approaches that the recent that the size of the recent that the recent that the size of the recent recent that the recent recent that the size here all the recent recent that the size here all the recent recent that the size here all the recent recent that the recent recent that the recent recent that the recent recent recent recent that the recent rece

something we cannot do.

The Will of the People

We are speaking about the will of the Soviet people, and the will of the American people, and the will of the American people, and the will of all peoples of the world. And beyond that, we have again drawn the attention of the American side to yet another circumstance about which I have already had occasion to speak.

But it's such an important circumstance, one to which we attach such very great importance that we feel in necessary to retirerate in the such a standard occasion to speak.

And that is the fact that it's already difficult for us to engage in a constructive dialogue on halting the arms race and the nuclear arms race, but tomorrow it will be even more difficult to carry off, which is why that meeting, this meeting, was necessary, which is why we needed responsible dialogue, because we all have now reached that border cause we all have now reached that border to the realities of the day, that broader approach to nettonal inferests that would indicate just how we should proceed in future in the world.

What governs the actual thinking of the American Administration? That required a great deal of work on our part to appreciate that. Great effort was invested in that reason and the support of the proceed in the same as to say that we had to endeavor to be unblased.

Shared Initial Premise

Shared Initial Premise

Shared Initial Premise

During the course of that meeting, we uncovered that we do seem to share a certain initial premise as concerns improving our relations. That is the understanding that a nuclear war cannot occur, that there can be not as the contract of the contra

ments they can claim, their potential, and naturally we're all the more aware of our own potential, some of which is still untapped.

In a word, we are for competition with the United States, for active rivalry with the United States, for active rivalry with the United States, for active rivalry with the greatest concern to all countries, of the center of the policies of all countries, othe developing countries, the developed capitalist countries, the developing countries. There are the economic problems that face them, social problems, cotological problems, these all can be successfully solved if we work together, if we understand each office, so the control of the countries o

And the most important issue, I'll come back to it, we must do everything we can to stop the arms race. Everbody understands that. I'm convinced that the old approaches, the old ways which take into account nothing more than these purely personal interests, a purely isolated approach, will take us no further.

purely isolated approach, will take us no rur-ther.

We must have a new policy which answers the needs of today's states and the realities of doday, and all the things which world history has put to the forefront.

Common Cause of Nations

has put to the forefront.

Common Gause of Nations

And there is one other thing involved in what we were talking about in Geneva at the summit meeting. It revolved around certain subjects, that is the common cause of all nations. I think the overwhelming majority of political leaders are in favor of accelerating the Geneva process so as to come up with means of halling the arms race and engaging the Geneva process so as to come up with means of halling the arms race and engaging. The actual truth is, the Soviet Union is open to controls. And if there is agreement achieved to ban the extension of weapons into outer space, then again we're prepared to open our laboratories of the space of the space

Arms Race and Soviet

Arms Race and Soviet
I've tried to be very sincers with the President in our talks. It seems to me that much of
American policy with regard to the Soviet
Union is based on misunderstanding. In some
cases, people feel that the arms race exhausts the Soviet Union economically, and



TALKING TO REPORTERS: Mikhail

thereby strengthens the hand of the United States of America. But history has already disproven such prophecies in the past. We've always been able to respond. So I think there's some sort of an illusion prevailing in military spheres and that it has somehow managed to come and the state of the sphere should be the somehow the share of the s

but one could even quote President Johnson, way back, who said that whoever manages to gain power in space will rule the earth. And they're just itching to get their hands into it. They're just itching to get their hands into it. They're just itching to get their hands into it. They're just itching to get this world domination and look down on the world from on high. It's an old, longstanding ambition.

The Right to Choose

The Right to Choose

The internal processes of every particular country, the interests of every papie, the peoples who wan these sovereign rights in which path they're going to take, to choose which system they're going to take, to choose which system they're going to take, to choose which system they're going to use, the methods and forms which their countries processes are going to take, even their choice of friends, that's the right of each nation. If we don't recognize this, then I don't know how we can build international relations. When I traveled to England in December last cided that I was going to pome back to the words of the great Palmerston. Palmerston said that England doesn't have dernal friends or eternal enemies, it only has eternal interests.

friends or eternal enemies, it unit nessessations interests.

Perhaps I haven't translated Palmerston correctly into Russian, but I think that's the spirit of what he said, so that's what I said to That the said, so the said to the spirit of what he said, so that's what I said to That the said, so the said to the sai

then of course you have to recognize that other nations also have their interests.

Question of Cooperation

And when about 200 different countries in the international forum have their say, then of course everyone has to express his own interests. But the question is to what degree their interests will be realized. That depends on them and the rest of us. It's a common concern, it's a question of cooperation.

Oncern, it's a question of cooperation concern, it's a question of cooperation both in terms of the Soviet Union and of the United States and of other countries — we do not accept this principle. We have always said it) years ago, and today we repeat it and we'll say it tomorrow, we have no ambiguous policy in this respect. On policies are open and the complete of the property of the world it's im — these are all based upon what langued in the pace in this or that country, whichever part of the world it's im — these are all based upon what langued in the pace in this or that country, whichever part of the world it's im — these are all based upon what langued in the pace in this or that country, whichever part of the world it's im — these are all based upon what langued in the pace in this or that country, whichever part of the world it's im — these are all based upon what langued in the pace in this or that country, whichever part of the world it's im — these are all based upon what langued in the pace in this or that country, whichever part of the world it's im — these are all based upon what langued in the pace are all based upon what langued in the pace in this or that country, whichever part of the world it's im — these are all based upon what langued in the pace in this or the country, whichever part of the world it's im — these are all based upon what langued in the pace in the contradictions.

'The Hand of Moscow'

"The Hand of Moscow"

To imagine that all these contradictions are just a result of the irradicts between East and West sould be not only wrong but it would be very dangerous. If in today's world, Mexico and several other countries, rich countries, and there I could include Brazil, find themselves incapable not only of paying their debts but of paying the interest on their debts.

You can imagine what effect that's having on their societies. And this situation is going to get worse. There is going to be an explosion, so again, are people going to say, That's the hand of Moscow?

You can't. Can you be so Irresponsible to

You can't. Can you be sol responsible to give those kinds of opinions on these sorts of issues?
You can't. Can you be sol rresponsible to give those kinds of opinions on these sorts of issues?
One world stage, some people still do be the Mesory for everything, but they shouldn't, it should not be allowed, especially at meetings like this, so we agreed at first that we're not going to say stupid things to each other of this sort.
Enough of that sort of stupid remark has been made before. Thanks to you, my journalist friends.

Source of Responsibility

Source of Responsibility
Of course the Soviet Vinlon and the United
States, the two most powerful states with
their global interests, with their own allies
and friends, they all have their own prices
in matters of foreign policy, but the Soviet
leadership sees this not as a source of confrontation but rather as a source of particular
responsibility that our countries hold.
The Soviet Union and the United States of
America and their leaders are responsible
for the future of the world, that's how we see
it, Of course we can discuss and argue about

this or that part of the world, the way things are there; of course our evaluation of situations anywhere will be different, very often they'll be completely contradictory. In principle, we have nothing against discussing regional problems here or there, and trying to find ways in which we can help to put things right. The problems here or there, and trying to find ways in which we can help to put things right. But at the same we continue to stress, But at the same ow, we continue to stress, and the problems of the probl

out any interference in the internal affairs of any country.

Such is our conception of Soviet-American relations. And that's the sort of attitude with which we came to this meeting, with which we talked to the President and the whole of his delegation.

U.S. Soviet Relations

We considered that to improve Soviet-American relations — it is perfectly possible a lot of problems have built up. I would say there are loads of problems which really have to be, the path has to be cleared before we can go abend. But the Soviet leadership has the political will to do this work of clear. When you find the path is blocked if you re a geologist or a miner, then a special brigade is called up to decide how can we save the situation, how can we save the people who are stuck behind this landslide, as it were. And we too, we must decide how to save our relationship from the stress which has built into a more healthy way of solving problems. This work we must do through our united efforts.

We are orrepared for this sort of united efforts.

This work we must do through our united efforts.

We are prepared for this sort of united effort. I said to the president that it would be a great shame and a mistake if we don't make use, you and me, of the opportunity which has appeared for us to change the direction of the situation that we find ourselves in.

I would like to come back to the main issue, which really was the central thread of our meeting. Not a single meeting, not a single round of talks at the tite-d-tee went by without us talking about war and peace, about disarmament and so on. This was the main thread of the Geneva meeting.

The 'Star Wars' Program

armament and so on. This was the main thread of the Geneva meeting.

The 'Star Wars' Program

We expected the American side, that the "Star Wars" Program side was the star Wars" program will not only lead to a further arms race, but it will mean that all restraint will be blown to the winds.

We said again and again, the American side was saying that it's a defensive system, a rocket system with some space weapons. They kept saying, How can you say after the Geneva meeting to your people that you refused the possibility of cutting down on of-Our answer to that is one I will repeat for you now: we are prepared to engage in radical cutbacks in nuclear weapons provided that the door to unleashing an arms race in outer space be firmly slammed shut.

We are prepared to engage in an initial phase of 50 percent cutbacks in arsenals, and we are prepared to appeal to other nuclear powers to find with us and take it further.

In position of the control of the provided that the control of the provided that the star in the provided that the star in the provided that the door to unleashing an arms race in outer space be a created positive reaction to S.D.I. Different people are talking about defense, it seems — defensive weapons, about a

shield. But that's not the way it is at all. In actual fact, taking account of the fact that alleady now in the world there are such massive weapons that have been accumulated, it seems we're being told to engage in a further arms race.

Rivalry in Outer Space

Arms race.

Rivalry in Outer Space

We can hardly manage at it is to harnessthe arms race. And here in this very completsituation as it is already now, you're trying to
engage in further rivalry that would take use
into outer space.

The recommendation of the recommendation of the recommendation
any guarantees quarantee, who can provide,
any guarantees that we'll be able to engage in;
these sort of productive talks. I think any,
anyone with common sense would agree that,
that's going to be difficult after S.D.I.

Try to imagine — and this is exactly what,
we tried to put rose to the American rider,
we tried to put rose, and the standard of the same of the same of the comments
what would happen from those missilesirthe warheads, say, if the nuclear charge
were to suddenly break off from some sort of
space ensemble, saying whose side would beresponsible with such a mishap. But justimagine that could happen. There's an attempt being made, say, to destroy it. And all
sorts of computers will be at work, political
leaders at that point would have no way of exercising any control over it, to control the
That's the sort of picture one could paint.
And I tried to say to the President that since.

ercising any control over it, to control the situation.

That's the sort of picture one could paint. And I tried to say to the President that since, and I tried to say to the President that since, that loka, as a human being, as an individual, we could sort of understand it. But we could, and that position.

We're thinking, that after these talls the vould adopt that position.

We're thinking, that after these talls to say on this subject. We see that the Americans aren't particularly happy with our way of thinking, but frankly we failed to see their reasoning.

Sharing Technology

They say that if we're the first one to come

reasoning.

Sharing Technology

They say that if we're the first one to come up with this solution we'll share it with you. We've already said that we wouldn't wage. a first strike against the United States of America. So why are you trying to spread the lieve us when we say we won't wage a first, strike?

Why should we believe you more than you should believe us? We have more ground not to believe you?

We are already repearing not to go out into. We are already repearing not to go out into. We are already repearing not to go out into. We have not of the spage in underground not clear explosions. Generally speaking, we would like to help, and certainly this won't be the last word from the American Administration.

We have had some very comprehensive talks here, certainly we have listened to one and the strike of the

QUESTIONS AND ANSWERS

QUESTIONS AND ANSWERS

U.S. and Soviet as Ailles
Q. In World War II, the United States and the Soviet Union were ailled against the common the Soviet Union were ailled against the common the Soviet Union and the United States can again be ailles, and I'd like to be specific in the following areas: in the struggle against have following a following cory. We do remember that .We haven't forgotten about that. Ad as a result of the Geneva meeting, I believe there is the possitive of the control of the con

TOM WICKER HAS A PRACTICED

What he sees when he looks at national politics and policies can open your eyes. Watch for his column, in The Nation, every Monday and Friday on the Op-Ed page.

The New Hork Eimes